Antiviral activity and safety of the hepatitis B core inhibitor ABI-H0731 administered with a nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor in patients with HBeAg positive chronic hepatitis B infection in a long-term extension study

Background

- Worldwide, ~250 million people are chronically infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV) and 600,000–1 million die each year due to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma associated with chronic hepatitis B (CHB)¹⁻⁴; of the ~94 million patients eligible for treatment, only ~4.8 million (5%) receive antiviral therapy.5
- Nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (Nrtls) are safe and have a high barrier to resistance; however:
- ~30% of hepatitis B e-antigen (HBeAg) positive patients do not completely suppress HBV DNA after 48 weeks of treatment^{6,1}
- Of those who completely suppress HBV DNA by current assays, 70%–80% still have infectious virus^{8,9}
- Durable, off-treatment virologic suppression is rare and treatment is indefinite for most patients
- New therapies are needed to provide deeper suppression of HBV replication and ultimately achieve sustained virologic response and allow for finite therapy
- Quantification of pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) enables a comprehensive assessment of covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) transcriptional activity and HBV replication $^{10-12}$; presence of HBV pgRNA is associated with persistent viral replication and the risk of relapse following cessation of treatment with Nrtl^{12–16}
- Core inhibitors target multiple steps of the HBV life cycle to suppress HBV DNA, pgRNA, and cccDNA (Figure 1)
- Combination treatment with a core inhibitor and an Nrtl, which have distinct mechanisms of action, have the potential to lead to deeper virologic suppression and to improve treatment outcomes of CHB.

Figure 1. Core Inhibitor Mechanisms of Action



cccDNA, covalently closed circular DNA; HBV, hepatitis B virus; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA

Vebicorvir (VBR; ABI-H0731): A Novel Inhibitor of **HBV Core Protein**

- Disrupts HBV capsid formation by allosteric binding and interference with core protein dimerization
- Broad in vitro antiviral activity¹⁷ Inhibits virion and pgRNA particle production (EC₅₀ $= 0.17 - 0.31 \,\mu\text{M};$ $CC_{50} \ge 20 \mu M$
- Inhibits de novo formation of cccDNA and downstream HBeAg and hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsĂg) production $(EC_{50} = 2 - 7 \mu M)$
- Pangenotypic and fully active against Nrtl-resistant HBV
- Orally administered as 300 mg once daily without regard to food
- No drug interaction with Nrtls
- Favorable clinical safety profile
- Superior reduction in HBV DNA and pgRNA in combination with
- Nrtls compared to Nrtl alone in HBeAg positive CHB patients¹⁸ The objective of this study was to evaluate the safety and
- efficacy of VBR in patients with HBeAg positive CHB

Figure 2. Phase 2 Clinical Trial Overview

| Treatment Weeks | 0 Double-Blind 2 | 24 Open-Label 70 |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | STUDY 202 | STUDY 211 |
| Treatment Naïve HBeAg Positive | Placebo + ETV (n = 12) | VBR + ETV (n = 11) |
| | VBR + ETV (n = 13) | VBR + ETV (n = 12) |
| | STUDY 201 | STUDY 211 |
| Virologically Suppressed HBeAg Positive | Placebo + Nrtl (n = 18) | VBR + Nrtl (n = 16) |
| | VBR + Nrtl (n = 29) | VBR + Nrtl (n = 27) |
| Virologically Suppressed HBeAg Negative Fung, et al. EASL 2020 Oral Presentation. Abstract 4256. | Placebo + Nrtl (n = 10) | VBR + Nrtl (n = 10) |
| | VBR + Nrtl (n = 16) | VBR + Nrtl (n = 16) |

ETV, entecavir; HBeAg, hepatitis B e-antigen; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor. VBR, vebicorvir.

Table 1. Eligibility Criteria

study drug

CHB in good general health Metavir F0–F2 or equivalent (no history of hepatic decompensation)

Study 201: On NrtI with HBV DNA ≤ LLOQ by COBAS for at least 6 months, HBsAg >400 IU/mL; ALT ≤5x ULN

Study 202: HBV DNA >2 x 10⁵ IU/mL; HBsAg >1000 IU/mL; ALT ≤10x ULN **Study 211:** Completion of Study 201 or Study 202 with good compliance to

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CHB, chronic hepatitis B; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; ULN, upper limit of normal.

Methods

- parameters HBV antigens

Table 2. Analytical Methods

COBAS HBV DNA

Assembly HBV DNA

Assembly HBV pgRNA

Assembly HBV Total Nu (composite DNA+pgRN Abbott ARCHITECT i2000 Abbott ARCHITECT i2000 FujiRebio Lumipulse G HE HBcrAg, hepatitis B virus core-relate quantitative hepatitis B surface antigen

- detection limit $\geq 5\%$)

Results

Characteristics

| HBeAg Positive |
|-----------------------------|
| Baseline Demographic |
| Age, years, mean (SD) |
| |



Not determinable^a Duration of Nrtl at random mean (SD)

TDF, n (%)^t TAF, n (%)

ETV, n (%) Baseline Disease Charac

- HBV DNA (COBAS)^a, < HBV DNA (Assembly). detected n (%)
- HBV pgRNA, Log₁₀ U/mL <LLOQ, n (%)
- HBeAg, Log₁₀ IU/mL, m HBsAg, Log₁₀ IU/mL, me HBcrAg, Log₁₀ kU/mL,
- ALT, U/L, mean (SD)

^aNot enough sequence data to confirm genotype. ^bOne patient enrolled on both ETV and TDF. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ETV, entecavir; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e-antigen, HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; NA, not applicable; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; SD, standard deviation; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; VBR, vebicorvir.

Figure 3. Patient Disposition



Figure 4. Patient Exposure



70 80 90 100 60 50 Freatment Week Error bars represent the range. ETV, entecavir; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor; VBR, vebicorvir.

• The median exposure to VBR for treatment-naïve patients was 75 weeks (range 24–102) while the median exposure for virologically suppressed patients was 77 weeks (range 23–100)

Man-Fung Yuen¹, Kosh Agarwal², Xiaoli Ma³, Tuan T. Nguyen⁴, Eugene R. Schiff⁵, Hie-Won L. Hann⁶, Douglas T. Dieterich⁷, Ronald Nahass⁸, James S. Park⁹, Sing Chan¹⁰, Steven-Huy B. Han¹¹, Edward J. Gane¹², Michael Bennett¹³, Katia Alves¹⁴, Hany Zayed¹⁴, Qi Huang¹⁴, Richard Colonno¹⁴, Steven J. Knox¹⁴, Luisa M. Stamm¹⁴, Maurizio Bonacini¹⁵, Ira M. Jacobson⁹, Walid S. Ayoub¹⁶, Frank Weilert¹⁷, Natarajan Ravendhran¹⁸, Alnoor Ramji¹⁹, Paul Yien Kwo²⁰, Magdy Elkhashab²¹, Tarek Hassanein²², Ho S. Bae²³, Jacob P. Lalezari¹⁵, Scott K. Fung²⁴, Mark S. Sulkowski²⁵

¹Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Sollege Hospital, New York, NY, US; ³Office of Xiaoli Ma, Philadelphia, PA, US; ⁴T Nguyen Research and Education, Inc., San Diego, CA, US; ⁴T Nguyen Research and Education, Inc., San Diego, CA, US; ⁵Schiff Center for Liver Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY, US; ⁸Infectious Disease Care, Hillsborough, NJ, US; ⁹New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, US; ¹⁰Sing Chan MD, New York, NY, US; ¹¹Pfleger Liver Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, US; ¹²Auckland Clinical Studies Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand; ¹³Medical Associates Research Group, San Diego, CA, US; ¹⁴Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁴Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁵Cuest Clinical Research, San Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁴Auckland, New Zealand; ¹³Medical Associates Research, Son Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁴Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁴Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁴Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁵Cuest Clinical Research, San Francisco, CA, US; ¹⁶Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, US; ¹⁷Waikato Hospital, Hamilton, New Zealand; ¹³Medical Center, Stanford University Medical Center, Coronado, CA, US; ²¹Coronto, Canada; ²²Southern California, Research Center, Coronado, CA, US; ²⁴University of Toronto, Canada; ²⁵Southern California, Research Center, Los Angeles, CA, US; ²⁴University of Toronto, Canada; ²⁵Southern California, Research Center, Los Angeles, CA, US; ²⁴University of Toronto, Canada; ²⁵Southern California, Research Center, Coronado, CA, US; ²⁴University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, US ²⁴University School of Me

 Patients from 30 sites in the United States, Canada, Hong Kong and New Zealand enrolled if they met eligibility criteria (Table 1) Safety assessed by adverse events (AEs) and laboratory

Efficacy assessed through monitoring of HBV nucleic acids and

| | Treatment Naive | Virologically Suppressed | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | LLOQ = 20 IU/mL | LLOQ = 20 IU/mL | | | |
| | NA | LOD = 5 IU/mL | | | |
| | LLOQ = 135 U/mL | LLOQ = 35 U/mL | | | |
| cleic Acids | NA | LLOQ=20 IU/mL | | | |
| SR qHBeAg | LLOQ = | : 0.11 IU/mL | | | |
| SR qHBsAg | LLOQ = | : 0.05 IU/mL | | | |
| crAg | LLOQ | = 1 kU/mL | | | |
| ed antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; LOD, limit of detection; LLOQ, lower limit of | | | | | |

quantification; NA, not applicable; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; gHBeAg, quantitative hepatitis B e antigen; gHBsAg,

Detailed information regarding Assembly assays is included in Huang, et al. EASL 2020 Poster, Abstract 4154

 Resistance monitored by population sequencing of the HBV core protein and polymerase reverse transcriptase regions (mutant

Genotyping performed by highly sensitive polymerase chain reaction (PCR; DNA) and reverse transcription-PCR (DNA + pgRNA) assays to detect a single copy of HBV genome

Table 3. Baseline Demographics and Disease

| | Treatment Naïve | | Virologically Suppressed | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Placebo + ETV N = 12 | VBR + ETV N = 13 | Placebo + Nrtl N = 18 | VBR + Nrtl N = 29 | |
| | | | | | |
| | 34.1 (11.39) 5 (42) 11 (92) | 35.7 (14.13) 3 (23) 13 (100) | 46.1 (12.92) 10 (56) 15 (83) | 42.1 (10.73) 21 (72) 26 (90) | |
| | 2 (17) 4 (33) 6 (50) 0 0 0 | 0 7 (54) 5 (38) 0 0 1 (8) | 1 (6) 2 (11) 11 (61) 0 1 (6) 3 (17) | 2 (7) 10 (34) 8 (28) 1 (3) 0 8 (28) | |
| ation, years, | NA | NA | 3.2 (2.71) | 4.6 (3.68) | |
| | NA NA NA | NA NA NA | 13 (72) 4 (22) 1 (6) | 17 (59) 8 (28) 3 (10) | |
| teristics | | | 1 (0) | 0(10) | |
| _OQ, n (%) | 0 | 0 | 18 (100) | 27 (93) | |
| get not | NA | NA | 5 (28) | 2 (7) | |
| , mean (SD) | 7.4 (1.1) 0 | 7.1 (1.0) 0 | 3.2 (1.5) 5 (28) | 3.6 (1.5) 4 (14) | |
| in (SD) | 2.5(1.2) | 2.5(0.8) | 0.4 (1.0) | 0.6 (1.0) | |
| an (SD) | 4.7 (0.4) 5.4 (1.0) 47 (32) | 4.5 (0.5) 5.5 (0.7) 66 (87) | 3.6 (0.5) 2.9 (0.9) 27 (19) | 3.5 (0.4) 3.0 (1.0) 27 (16) | |
| | . , | . , | · · / | . , | |

| | Median Exposure to Study Treatment | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 201/202 oo + ETV | Study 211 VBR + ETV | |
| + ETV | VBR + ETV | |
| oo + Nrtl | VBR + Nrtl | 4 |
| + Nrtl | VBR + Nrtl | |
| | | |

Efficacy: Treatment-Naïve Patients



HBV DNA LLOQ = 20 IU/mL. HBV pgRNA LLOQ = 135 U/mL. Error bars represent the standard error. ETV, entecavir; HBV, hepatitis B virus; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; VBR, vebicorvir.

Figure 7. HBV Viral Transcripts in Treatment-Naïve Patients (Change from Baseline for Individuals)



Efficacy: Virologically-Suppressed Patients

Figure 8. HBV DNA and HBV pgRNA in Virologically-Suppressed Patients







Figure 6. Normalization of ALT in **Treatment-Naïve Patients**



ULN (American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases) = 25 U/L for females and 33 U/I ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ETV, entecavir; ULN, upper limit of normal; VBR, vebicorvi

- Compared to placebo + entecavir (ETV), VBR + ETV led to statistically greater reductions in HBV DNA and HBV pgRNA at Treatment Week 24 (**Figure 5**) • Extended treatment with VBR + ETV resulted in continued declines in HBV DNA and HBV pgRNA (**Figure 5**)
- By Treatment Week 48, patients who received placebo + ETV and switched to VBR + ETV were similar to patients who started on VBR + ETV • At Treatment Week 72, 50% of patients (10/20) achieved HBV DNA < lower limit of
- quantification (LLOQ; 20 IU/mL 7 treatment-naïve patients experienced HBV DNA rebound (>1 log₁₀ increase from nadir): all were sequenced at the time of rebound with no evidence of resistance and all reported missed doses or were suspected to be noncompliant
- VBR + ETV resulted in rapid normalization of alanine aminotransferase (ALT; Figure 6) VBR + Nrtl was generally safe and well-tolerated throughout the studies, with most AEs and Treatment-naïve patients had higher levels of HBV antigens at baseline and most laboratory abnormalities being Grade 1 or 2 experienced decreases in hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg), HBeAg and • There was 1 serious AE of Grade 3 suicidal ideation considered not related to study drug HBsAg on treatment with VBR + ETV (Figure 7) • The AEs leading to discontinuation of VBR were Grade 3 serious AE of suicidal ideation and
- At Treatment Week 76, treatment-naïve patients will be assessed and have treatment with VBR + ETV extended if they have achieved the initial virologic response criterion (≥2.5 log₁₀ U/mL reduction in pgRNA from baseline) Of the 23 patients who enrolled in Study 211, it is projected^a that 65% (15 patients) will continue both VBR + ETV, and 26% (6 patients) will discontinue VBR and continue Nrtl only (9% [2 patients] have discontinued VBR for other reasons])

Figure 9. Criteria for Stopping Therapy



For at least 6 months prior to Treatment Week 76

HBeAg, hepatitis B e-antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA.

- Compared with placebo + Nrtl, treatment with VBR + Nrtl led to greater viral suppression as assessed by highly sensitive HBV nucleic acid assays (Figure 8)
- 2 virologically-suppressed patients experienced HBV DNA rebound (>1 log₁₀ increase from nadir); both were sequenced at the time of rebound with no evidence of resistance and reported missing doses
- At Treatment Week 72, the mean (standard deviation) ALT was 25 (14) U/mL for those who initially received placebo + Nrtl and 30 (21) U/mL for those who initially received VBR + Nrtl • Virologically-suppressed patients had lower levels of HBV antigens at baseline and most
- experienced small decreases in HBcrAg, HBeAg, and HBsAg on treatment with VBR + Nrtl (Figure 10) At Treatment Week 72, 74% of patients (23/31) have HBeAg <5 IU/mL, 60% of patients
- (18/30) have HBcrAg <500 kU/mL and 10% of patients (3/31) have HBsAg <1000 IU/mL
- At Treatment Week 76, virologically-suppressed patients will be assessed and, if the stopping criteria are met (Figure 9), will discontinue treatment with VBR + Nrtl and be monitored for sustained virologic response
- Of the 43 patients who enrolled in Study 211, it is projected^a that 49% (21 patients) will discontinue both VBR + Nrtl, and 42% (18 patients) will discontinue VBR and continue Nrtl only (9% [4 patients] have discontinued VBR for other reasons])
- To date, those stopping VBR + Nrtl will have had composite DNA + pgRNA < LLOQ for 6– 17 months ^aAs of a July 9, 2020 data cut

Table 4. Overall Summary of Safety

| | Study 201/202 (24 Weeks) | | Study 211 (24 to 72 Weeks) | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Patients, n (%) | Placebo + Nrtl n = 30 | VBR + Nrtl n = 42 | VBR + Nrtl ^a n = 27 | VBR + Nrtl n = 39 | |
| Any TEAE | 10 (33) | 21 (50) | 16 (59) | 19 (49) | |
| Grade 1 | 8 (27) | 14 (33) | 6 (22) | 12 (31) | |
| Grade 2 | 1 (3) | 7 (17) | 8 (30) | 6 (15) | |
| Grade 3 | 1 (3) | 0 | 2 (7) | 1 (3) | |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Serious AEs | 0 | 0 | 1 (4) | 0 | |
| TEAEs leading to DC | 0 | 0 | 1 (4) | 1 (3) | |
| Death | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | | |

Table 5. Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events and Laboratory Abnormalities

| | Study 201/202 (24 Weeks) | | Study 211 (24 to 72 Weeks) | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Patients, n (%) | Placebo + Nrtl n = 30 | VBR + Nrtl n = 42 | VBR + Nrtl ^a n = 27 | VBR + Nrtl n = 39 | | |
| Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events ^b | | | | | | |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 2 (7) | 4 (10) | 3 (11) | 4 (10) | | |
| Pruritus | 0 | 5 (12) | 3 (11) | 1 (3) | | |
| Nasopharyngitis | 1 (3) | 2 (5) | 2 (7) | 3 (8) | | |
| Increased ALT | 2(7) | 1 (2) | 1 (4) | 1 (3) | | |
| Headache | 0 | 3 (7) | 0 | 1 (3) | | |
| Dizziness | 2(7) | 1 (2) | 0 | 0 | | |
| Rash | 0 | 1 (2) | 2 (7) | 1 (3) | | |
| Hematuria | 0 | 0 | 2 (7) | 1 (3) | | |
| Cholelithiasis | 0 | 0 | 2 (7) | 0 | | |
| Treatment-Emergent Laboratory Abnormalities | | | | | | |
| Grade 1 Grade 2 | 9 (30) 11 (37) | 15 (36) 12 (29) | 11 (41) 5 (19) | 19 (49) 5 (13) | | |
| Crada 2 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4} (1)$ | 2(0) | | |

^aPatients who received placebo + VBR in Study 201/202. ^bTEAEs reported for >5% patient in any

Patients who received placebo + VBR in Study 201/202. AE, adverse event; DC, (study drug) discontinuation; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide analogue everse transcriptase inhibitor; TEAE, treatment-emergent AE; VBR, vebicorvir.

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; VBR, vebicorvir. Treatment-naïve and virologically-suppressed patients from Studies 201, 202 and 211 were pooled in the safety analysis

Grade 3 AE of ALT elevation Grade 3 laboratory abnormalities were: 1 patient with isolated Grade 3 elevations in aspartate aminotransferase and ALT associated with increased alcohol use (reported as Grade 3 AEs); 1 patient with intermittent Grade 3 elevations in ALT (reported as Grade 3 AE and led to VBR discontinuation); 1 patient with isolated high international normalized ratio and prothrombin time; and 1 patient with isolated high prothrombin time

Conclusions

- In patients with HBeAg positive CHB, VBR given in combination with Nrtl has a favorable safety and tolerability profile with no observed treatmentemergent resistance
- In treatment-naïve patients with HBeAg positive CHB:
- The addition of VBR to ETV therapy led to greater decline in HBV DNA and pgRNA, and normalization of ALT
- Those with an initial virologic response will extend treatment with VBR + ETV for an additional 48 weeks to allow them to reach the stopping criteria
- In virologically-suppressed patients with HBeAg positive CHB:
- The addition of VBR to Nrtl therapy led to greater proportions of patients achieving undetectable DNA and pgRNA levels measured by highly sensitive HBV nucleic acid assays
- Discontinuation of both VBR and Nrtl treatment in these patients meeting stopping criteria will now assess the durability of virologic and clinical outcomes
- These data demonstrate that the addition of VBR to Nrtl provides enhanced inhibition of viral replication during chronic suppressive therapy

References

1) European Association for the Study of the Liver. J Hepatol. 2017;67:370–98; 2) World Health Organization. Global Hepatitis Report. 2017; 3) EI-Serag HB et al. Gastroenterology. 2012;142(6):1264-73; 4) Colvin, HM & Mitchell, AE. National Academies Press. 2010; 5) The Polaris Observatory Collaborators. Lancet Gastroenterol. 2018;3:383–403; 6) Chang TT et al. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(10):1001–10; 7) Chan HLY et al. Lancet Gastroenterol. 2016;1:185–95; 8) Marcellin P et al. Hepatology. 2014;60:1093A; 9) Burdette D et al. J Hepatol. 2019;70(Suppl 1):e95; 10) Bai F et al. Int J Hepatol. 2013;1–9; 11) Cornberg M et al. J Hepatol. 2020;72:539–57; 12) Lin N et al. J Clin Microbiol. 2020;58:e01275–19; 13) Wang J et al. J Hepatol. 2016;65:700–10; 14) Carey I et al. Hepatology. 2020;72:42–57; 15) Fan R et al. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;18:719–27; 16) Fan R et al. J Infect. 2020;222:611–18; 17) Huang Q et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020 (Submitted); 18) Sulkowski MS et al. Hepatology. 2019. 70(Suppl 1):936A.

Acknowledgments

- We express our gratitude to all the patients, investigators, and site staff who participated in the study
- Writing and editorial support was provided by Lauren Hanlon, PhD, of AlphaBioCom, LLC, and funded by Assembly Biosciences This study was sponsored by Assembly Biosciences